arXiv:1303.6505v1 [math.NT] 26 Mar 2013

ON CERTAIN MEAN VALUES OF THE DOUBLE ZETA-FUNCTION

SOICHI IKEDA, KANEAKI MATSUOKA, AND YOSHIKAZU NAGATA

Abstract. In this paper we discuss three types of the mean values of the Euler double zeta function. In order to get results we introduce three approximate formulas for this function.

1. Introduction

Let $s_1 = \sigma_1 + it_1$ and $s_2 = \sigma_2 + it_2$ with $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. The Euler double zeta-function is defined by

$$\zeta_2(s_1, s_2) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{s_1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+n)^{s_2}}. \tag{1.1}$$

This series is absolutely convergent for $\sigma_2 > 1$ and $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > 2$ [7]. We can continue $\zeta_2(s_1, s_2)$ meromorphically to $\mathbb{C}^2$, which is holomorphic in

$$\{(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid s_2 \neq 1, s_1 + s_2 \notin \{2, 1, 0, -2, -4, -6, \dots\}\}$$

as was proved in [1]. (The first study of the analytic continuation of $\zeta_2(s_1, s_2)$ is Atkinson's work in [2]. Akiyama, Egami and Tanigawa studied the analytic continuation of not only $\zeta_2(s_1, s_2)$ but also more general multiple zeta-functions in [1]. Zhao also obtained the continuation in [12] independently.)

The analytic properties of $\zeta_2(s_1, s_2)$ were studied by various authors (for example, Kiuchi-Tanigawa-Zhai [6], Matsumoto [7], [8]). Recently, Matsumoto and Tsumura studied the mean values

$$\int_2^T |\zeta_2(s_1, s_2)|^2 dt_2, \tag{1.2}$$

where s_1 is a fixed complex number. This is the first study of the mean values of $\zeta_2(s_1, s_2)$. In this paper we study (1.2) in the regions which are not covered in the work of Matsumoto and Tsumura and introduce new types of mean values of $\zeta_2(s_1, s_2)$. This paper is inspired by Matsumoto and Tsumura [9].

In this paper we prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $s_1 = \sigma_1 + it_1, s_2 = \sigma_2 + it_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, $T \geq 2$ *and*

$$I^{[1]}(T) = \int_2^T |\zeta_2(s_1, s_2)|^2 dt_1.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11M32,11M06.

Key words and phrases. double zeta function, mean value.

Assume that when t_1 *moves from* 2 *to* T*, the point* $(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ *does not encounter the singularities of* $\zeta_2(s_1, s_2)$*. In the case* $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > 2$*, we have*

$$I^{[1]}(T) = \zeta_2^{[1]}(2\sigma_1, s_2)T + O(1),$$

where the implied constant depends on s_2 *and* $\zeta_2^{[1]}(2\sigma_1, s_2)$ *is a series which converges* $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > 3/2$ *(we define* $\zeta_2^{[1]}(\sigma_1, s_2)$ *in the next setcion). In the case* $3/2 < \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \le 2$*, we have*

$$I^{[1]}(T) = \zeta_2^{[1]}(2\sigma_1, s_2)T+O(T^{1/2})+\begin{cases} O(T^{4-2\sigma_1-2\sigma_2}\log T) & (\sigma_2 \neq 1, 3/2 < \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 < 2), \\ O((\log T)^2) & (\sigma_2 \neq 1, \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 = 2), \\ O(T^{2-2\sigma_1}(\log T)^3) & (\sigma_2 = 1, 1/2 < \sigma_2 < 1), \\ O((\log T)^4) & (\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 1). \end{cases}$$

In the case $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 = 3/2$*, we have*

$$I^{[1]}(T) = \frac{1}{|s_2 - 1|^2} T \log T + O(T(\log T)^{1/2}).$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $s_1 = \sigma_1 + it_1, s_2 = \sigma_2 + it_2 \in \mathbb{C}$*,* $T \ge 2$ *and*

$$I^{[2]}(T) = \int_2^T |\zeta_2(s_1, s_2)|^2 dt_2.$$

Assume that when t_2 *moves from* 2 *to* T*, the point* $(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ *does not encounter the singularities of* $\zeta_2(s_1, s_2)$*. In the case* $\sigma_2 > 1$ *and* $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > 2$*, we have*

$$I^{[2]}(T) = \zeta_2^{[2]}(s_1, 2\sigma_2)T + O(1),$$

where the implied constant depends on s_1 *and* $\zeta_2^{[2]}(s_1, 2\sigma_2)$ *is a series which converges* $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > 3/2$ *and* $\sigma_2 > 1/2$ *(*$\zeta_2^{[2]}(s_1, \sigma_2)$ *is used in* [9] *and we show the definition of* $\zeta_2^{[2]}(s_1, \sigma_2)$ *in the next section). In the case* $\sigma_1 > 1$ *and* $1/2 < \sigma_2 \le 1$*, we have*

$$I^{[2]}(T) = \zeta_2^{[2]}(s_1, 2\sigma_2)T + O(T^{1/2}) + \begin{cases} O(T^{2-2\sigma_2}\log T) & (\sigma_2 \neq 1), \\ O((\log T)^2) & (\sigma_2 = 1). \end{cases}$$

In the case $\sigma_1 \le 1$*,* $3/2 < \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \le 2$ *and* $s_1 \neq 1$*, we have*

$$I^{[2]}(T) = \zeta_2^{[2]}(s_1, 2\sigma_2)T+O(T^{1/2})+\begin{cases} O(T^{2-2\sigma_2}(\log T)^3) & (\sigma_1 = 1, 1/2 < \sigma_2 < 1), \\ O((\log T)^4) & (\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 1), \\ O(T^{4-2\sigma_1-2\sigma_2}\log T) & (\sigma_1 \neq 1, \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \neq 2), \\ O((\log T)^2) & (\sigma_1 \neq 1, \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 = 2). \end{cases}$$

In the case $\sigma_1 > 1$ *and* $\sigma_2 = 1/2$*, we have*

$$I^{[2]}(T) = |\zeta(s_1)|^2 T \log T + O(T(\log T)^{1/2}).$$

In the case $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 = 3/2$ *and* $\sigma_2 > 1/2$*, we have*

$$I^{[2]}(T) = \frac{1}{|s_1 - 1|^2} T \log T + O(T(\log T)^{1/2}).$$

Theorem 1.3. *Let* $s_1 = \sigma_1 + it, s_2 = \sigma_2 + it \in \mathbb{C}$, $T \geq 2$ *and*

$$I^{\square}(T) = \int_2^T |\zeta_2(s_1, s_2)|^2 dt.$$

In the case $\sigma_2 > 1$ *and* $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > 2$, *we have*

$$I^{\square}(T) = \zeta_2^{\square}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)T + O(1),$$

where $\zeta_2^{\square}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ *is a series which converges if and only if* $\sigma_2 > 1/2$ *and* $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > 1$ *(we define* $\zeta_2^{\square}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ *in the next section). In the case* $\sigma_1 > 1$ *and* $1/2 < \sigma_2 \leq 1$, *we have*

$$I^{\square}(T) = \zeta_2^{\square}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)T + O(T^{2-2\sigma_2+\epsilon}) + O(T^{1/2})$$

for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. *In the case* $\sigma_1 \leq 1$ *and* $3/2 < \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \leq 2$, *we have*

$$I^{\square}(T) = \zeta_2^{\square}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)T + O(T^{4-2\sigma_1-2\sigma_2+\epsilon}) + O(T^{1/2})$$

for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. *In the case* $\sigma_1 > 1$ *and* $\sigma_2 = 1/2$, *we have*

$$I^{\square}(T) \asymp T \log T.$$

Matsumoto and Tsumura introduced $I^{[2]}(T)$ and studied the cases

(1) $\sigma_1 > 1$ and $\sigma_2 > 1$ (Theorem 1.1 of [9]),
(2) $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > 2$ and $1/2 < \sigma_2 \leq 1$ (Theorem 1.2 of [9]),
(3) $1/2 < \sigma_1 < 3/2$, $1/2 < \sigma_2 \leq 1$ and $3/2 < \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \leq 2$ (Theorem 1.3 of [9]).

Therefore our results include the regions which Matsumoto and Tsumura did not study. Moreover, Matsumoto and Tsumura obtained

$$I^{[2]}(T) = \zeta_2^{[2]}(s_1, 2\sigma_2)T + O(T^{2-2\sigma_1}(\log T)^2) + O(T^{1/2}) \tag{1.3}$$

in the case $1/2 < \sigma_1 < 1$ and $\sigma_2 = 1$. Therefore our result gives an improvement on the error estimate in (1.3).

Outlines of the proof of our theorems are as follows. If $\sigma_2 > 1$ and $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > 2$ we can easily obtain the results by the mean value theorems for Dirichlet polynomials. In order to get the results in other regions we need suitable approximate formulas in each case (cf. Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 6.3 in Matsumoto and Tsumura [9]). The approximate formulas used in the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 are derived from the Euler-Maclaurin formula and the simplest approximate formula to $\zeta(s)$ due to Hardy and Littlewood or an integral representation of $\zeta_2(s_1, s_2)$ which was showed in [7]. We can easily prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 by these approximate formulas and the classical lemma (see Lemma 2.4). On the other hand we need a more elaborate method to get the proof of Theorem 1.3. In order to obtain the suitable approximate formula for $\zeta_2(\sigma_1 + it, \sigma_2 + it)$ we need the technique of Kiuchi and Tanigawa [5], which enables us to get good estimates of the error terms in the Euler-Maclaurin formula.

In Theorem 1.1 (resp. Theorem 1.2) we regard s_2 (resp. s_1) as a constant term. On the other hand, from the study of Kiuchi, Tanigawa and Zhai [6], we know that the behavior of $|\zeta_2(s_1, s_2)|$ depends on both s_1 and s_2 strongly.

Therefore it is also important to consider a mean value which depends on both s_1 and s_2.

From Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 we may expect that the behavior of $\zeta_2(s_1, s_2)$ in the region $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 = 3/2$ is special (see the conjecture raised by Matsumoto and Tsumura [9]). The error terms in Theorem 1.3 support their conjecture. However, we can take a different point of view. For the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(\sigma + it)$, we know that

$$\int_2^T |\zeta(\sigma + it)|^2 dt \sim \zeta(2\sigma)T$$

for $\sigma > 1/2$ and

$$\int_2^T |\zeta(1/2 + it)|^2 dt \sim T \log T$$

hold (see, for example, Theorem 7.2 and Theorem 7.3 in [10]). The line $\sigma = 1/2$ is the critical line for $\zeta(\sigma + it)$ and the series

$$\zeta(2\sigma) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2\sigma}}$$

diverges on $\sigma = 1/2$. On the other hand, $\zeta_2^{\square}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ converges if and only if $\sigma_2 > 1/2$ and $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > 1$. Moreover, if $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 > 1/2$ then $I^{\square}(T) \sim \zeta_2^{\square}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)T$ holds by

$$\int_2^T |\zeta(\sigma + it)|^4 dt = O(T)$$

for $\sigma > 1/2$ (see Theorem 7.5 in [10]) and Carlson's mean value theorem (see p. 304 in [11]). Hence we can expect that $I^{\square}(T) \sim \zeta_2^{\square}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)T$ holds for $\sigma_2 > 1/2$ and $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > 1$ and the boundary of the region $\sigma_2 > 1/2$ and $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > 1$ is an analogue of the critical line for $\zeta_2(\sigma_1 + it, \sigma_2 + it)$.

2. LEMMAS FOR THE PROOF OF THEOREMS

In this section, we collect some auxiliary results and definitions.

First, we give the definition of $\zeta_2^{[1]}(\sigma_1, s_2)$, $\zeta_2^{[2]}(s_1, \sigma_2)$ and $\zeta_2^{\square}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$.

We define

$$\zeta_2^{[1]}(\sigma_1, s_2) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{\sigma_1}} \left| \zeta(s_2) - \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{1}{n^{s_2}} \right|^2$$

for $s_2 \neq 1$. Since we have

$$\zeta_2^{[1]}(2\sigma_1, s_2) \ll \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \begin{cases} m^{2-2\sigma_1-2\sigma_2} & (\sigma_2 > 1) \\ m^{-2\sigma_1}(\log m)^2 & (\sigma_2 = 1) \\ m^{2-2\sigma_1-2\sigma_2} & (\sigma_2 < 1), \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

the series $\zeta_2^{[1]}(2\sigma_1, s_2)$ converges in the region $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > 3/2$.

We define

$$\zeta_2^{[2]}(s_1, \sigma_2) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{m^{s_1}} \right|^2 \frac{1}{n^{\sigma_2}}$$

(this definition is the same as [9]). Since we have

$$\zeta_2^{[2]}(s_1, 2\sigma_2) \ll \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \begin{cases} n^{-2\sigma_2} & (\sigma_1 > 1) \\ n^{-2\sigma_2}(\log n)^2 & (\sigma_1 = 1) \\ n^{2-2\sigma_1-2\sigma_2} & (\sigma_1 < 1), \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

the series $\zeta_2^{[2]}(s_1, 2\sigma_2)$ converges in the region $\sigma_2 > 1/2$ and $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > 3/2$.

We define

$$\zeta_2^{\square}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{\substack{mn=k \\ m<n}} \frac{1}{m^{\sigma_1} n^{\sigma_2}} \right)^2.$$

We note that $\#\{(m,n) | mn = k, m < n\} \ll k^{\epsilon}$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_2(2\sigma_1, 2\sigma_2) &< \zeta_2^{\square}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{-2\sigma_2} \left(\sum_{\substack{m|k \\ m<\sqrt{k}}} \frac{1}{m^{\sigma_1-\sigma_2}} \right)^2 \\ &\ll \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \begin{cases} k^{-2\sigma_2+\epsilon} & (\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2) \\ k^{-\sigma_1-\sigma_2+\epsilon} & (\sigma_1 < \sigma_2) \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$, the series $\zeta_2^{\square}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ converges if and only if $\sigma_2 > 1/2$ and $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > 1$.

Lemma 2.1 (Theorem 5.2 in [4]). *Let $a_1, \cdots, a_N$ be arbitrary complex numbers. Then*

$$\int_0^T \left| \sum_{n \leq N} a_n n^{it} \right|^2 dt = T \sum_{n \leq N} |a_n|^2 + O\left(\sum_{n \leq N} n |a_n|^2 \right), \tag{2.4}$$

and the above formula remains also valid if $N = \infty$, provided that the series on the right-hand side of (2.4) converges.

The following lemmas are well-known results for $\zeta(s)$ (see [3] in p. 114).

Lemma 2.2. *Let $s = \sigma + it \in \mathbb{C}$, $m, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $M = 2m + 1$. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(s) = \sum_{n \leq N} \frac{1}{n^s} + \frac{N^{1-s}}{s-1} - \frac{N^{-s}}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{2m} \frac{B_{k+1}}{(k+1)!} (s)_k N^{-(s+k)} + \\ + R_{M,N}(s), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_{M,N}(s) = -\frac{(s)_M}{M!} \int_N^{\infty} B_M(x - [x]) x^{-s-M} dx.$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\sigma_0 > 0$, $x \geq 1$, $C > 0$ and $s = \sigma + it \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$. If $|t| \leq 2\pi x / C$ then*

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{n^s} - \frac{x^{1-s}}{1-s} + O(x^{-\sigma}).$$

Remark 2.1. By setting $x = t \geq 1$ in Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq t} \frac{1}{n^s} + O(t^{-\sigma}).$$

Let $s_1 = \sigma_1 + it_1, s_2 = \sigma_2 + it_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \geq \sigma_0 > 0$. By setting $t_1 \geq 1$, $s = s_1 + s_2$ and $x = |t_1| + |t_2|$ in Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\zeta(s_1 + s_2) = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq t_1} \frac{1}{n^{s_1+s_2}} + O(t_1^{-\sigma_1-\sigma_2})$$

for $s_1 + s_2 \neq 1$ and fixed s_2.

We use the following evaluations in this paper.

Remark 2.2. Let x_1, x_2 be positive real variables with $1 \leq x_1 \leq x_2$. For any fixed $\alpha, \beta > 0$,

$$\sum_{x_1 \leq r \leq x_2} \frac{1}{r(r+\beta)^\alpha} \ll \frac{\log x_2}{(x_1+\beta)^\alpha}$$

holds.

Remark 2.3. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N \geq 2$. For any fixed $c > 1$ and $d \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{n > N} \frac{(\log n)^d}{n^c} \ll N^{1-c}(\log N)^d$$

holds.

The following lemma is important in the proof of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $T \geq 2$, $s = \sigma + it \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of complex numbers. Suppose that there exist $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $d \geq 0$ such that $a_n \ll n^c(\log(n+1))^d$ holds. If $1/2 < \sigma - c \leq 1$ then we have*

$$\int_1^T \left| \sum_{n \leq t} a_n n^{-s} \right|^2 dt = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_k|^2}{k^{2\sigma}} T + \begin{cases} O(T^{2-2(\sigma-c)}(\log T)^{2d+1}) & (1/2 < \sigma - c < 1) \\ O((\log T)^{2d+2}) & (\sigma - c = 1). \end{cases}$$

Proof. From the assumptions of the lemma, we can easily see that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_k|^2}{k^{2\sigma}}$$

converges. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_1^T \Bigl|\sum_{n\le t} a_n n^{-s}\Bigr|^2 dt &= \int_1^T \sum_{m\le t} a_m m^{-s} \sum_{n\le t} \overline{a_n} n^{-\overline{s}} dt \\ &= \sum_{m\le T}\sum_{n\le T} a_m \overline{a_n} m^{-\sigma} n^{-\sigma} \int_{M(m,n)}^T \Bigl(\frac{n}{m}\Bigr)^{it} dt \\ &= \sum_{k\le T} \frac{|a_k|^2}{k^{2\sigma}}(T-k) + \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{m,n\le T\\ m\ne n}} \frac{a_m\overline{a_n}\{\exp(iT\log(n/m)) - \exp(iM(m,n)\log(n/m))\}}{i(mn)^\sigma \log(n/m)} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{|a_k|^2}{k^{2\sigma}} T + O\biggl(T\sum_{k>T}\frac{|a_k|^2}{k^{2\sigma}}\biggr) + O\biggl(\sum_{k\le T}\frac{|a_k|^2}{k^{2\sigma-1}}\biggr) + \\ &\quad + O\biggl(\sum_{m<n\le T}\frac{|a_m a_n|}{(mn)^\sigma \log(n/m)}\biggr) \\ &= A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4,\end{aligned}$$

say, where $M(m,n) = \max\{m,n\}$. From Remark 2.3, we have

$$A_2 \ll T\sum_{k>T} \frac{(\log k)^{2d}}{k^{2(\sigma-c)}} \ll T^{2-2(\sigma-c)}(\log T)^{2d}.$$

Next, we have

$$A_3 \ll \sum_{k\le T} \frac{(\log(k+1))^{2d}}{k^{2(\sigma-c)-1}} \ll \begin{cases} T^{2-2(\sigma-c)}(\log T)^{2d} & (1/2<\sigma-c<1) \\ (\log T)^{2d+1} & (\sigma-c=1)\end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}A_4 &= \sum_{m\le T}\sum_{2m<n\le T}\frac{|a_m a_n|}{(mn)^\sigma\log(n/m)} + \sum_{m\le T}\sum_{m<n\le 2m}\frac{|a_m a_n|}{(mn)^\sigma\log(n/m)} \\ &= B_1 + B_2,\end{aligned}$$

say. Since $\log(n/m) > \log 2$ for $2m < n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}B_1 &\ll \sum_{m\le T}\sum_{2m<n\le T}\frac{|a_m a_n|}{(mn)^\sigma} \ll \biggl(\sum_{m\le T}\frac{|a_m|}{m^\sigma}\biggr)^2 \\ &\ll \biggl(\sum_{m\le T}\frac{(\log(m+1))^d}{m^{\sigma-c}}\biggr)^2 \\ &\ll \begin{cases} T^{2-2(\sigma-c)}(\log T)^{2d} & (1/2<\sigma-c<1) \\ (\log T)^{2d+2} & (\sigma-c=1).\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

In order to evaluate B_2, we write $n = m+r$ $(r = 1, \dots, m)$. Since $x \asymp \log(1+x)$ for $x \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_2 &= \sum_{m \le T} m^{-\sigma}|a_m| \sum_{r=1}^{m} (m+r)^{-\sigma}|a_{m+r}| \frac{1}{\log(1+r/m)} \\ &\ll \sum_{m \le T} m^{1-2\sigma}|a_m| \sum_{r=1}^{m} \frac{|a_{m+r}|}{r} \\ &\ll \sum_{m \le T} m^{1-2\sigma}\{m^c(\log(m+1))^d\}^2 \log(m+1) \\ &= \sum_{m \le T} m^{1-2(\sigma-c)}(\log(m+1))^{2d+1} \\ &\ll \begin{cases} T^{2-2(\sigma-c)}(\log T)^{2d+1} & (1/2 < \sigma - c < 1) \\ (\log T)^{2d+2} & (\sigma - c = 1). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$A_4 \ll \begin{cases} T^{2-2(\sigma-c)}(\log T)^{2d+1} & (1/2 < \sigma - c < 1) \\ (\log T)^{2d+2} & (\sigma - c = 1). \end{cases}$$

This implies the lemma. □

In the proof of Theorem 1.1 we use the following integral representation of $\zeta_2(s_1, s_2)$, which was showed by Matsumoto [7] in p. 425.

Lemma 2.5. *Let M be a positive integer. Suppose that $\epsilon > 0$ is small. For $\sigma_2 > -M + \epsilon$ and $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > 1 - M + \epsilon$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_2(s_1, s_2) = \frac{\zeta(s_1 + s_2 - 1)}{s_2 - 1} &+ \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \binom{-s_2}{k} \zeta(s_1 + s_2 + k)\zeta(-k) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(M-\epsilon)} \frac{\Gamma(s_2 + z)\Gamma(-z)}{\Gamma(s_2)} \zeta(s_1 + s_2 + z)\zeta(-z)dz, \end{aligned}$$

where the path of integration is the line $\Re z = M - \epsilon$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we regard s_2 as a constant. We divide the proof into three cases.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 for $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > 2$. We set

$$a_m = \frac{1}{m^{\sigma_1}}\left(\zeta(s_2) - \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{1}{n^{s_2}}\right)$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If we assume $\sigma_2 > 1$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_2(s_1, s_2) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{\sigma_1+it_1}} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{s_2}} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m m^{-it_1}.\end{aligned}$$

The last series converges absolutely in $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > 2$. Since

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m|a_m|^2 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{2\sigma_1-1}} \left| \zeta(s_2) - \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{1}{n^{s_2}} \right|^2$$

converges by (2.1), we have

$$I^{[1]}(T) = \zeta_2^{[1]}(2\sigma_1, s_2)T + O(1)$$

by Lemma 2.1. □

In the case $3/2 < \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \leq 2$, we use the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $s_1 = \sigma_1 + it_1, s_2 = \sigma_2 + it_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $t_1 \geq 1$. Assume that the point $(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ does not encounter the singularities of $\zeta_2(s_1, s_2)$. For $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > 1$ and any fixed s_2, we have*

$$\zeta_2(s_1, s_2) = \sum_{m \leq t_1} \frac{1}{m^{s_1}} \left(\zeta(s_2) - \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{1}{n^{s_2}} \right) + O(t_1^{1-\sigma_1-\sigma_2}).$$

Proof. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\sigma_2 > -2l$. First, we regard s_1 and s_2 as complex variables and assume $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 > 1$. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\zeta_2(s_1, s_2) = \sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{1}{m^{s_1}} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{s_2}} + \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{s_1}} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{s_2}} = V_1 + V_2,$$

say. Since

$$V_1 = \sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{1}{m^{s_1}} \left(\zeta(s_2) - \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{1}{n^{s_2}} \right),$$

V_1 is continued meromorphically to $\mathbb{C}^2$. By setting $M = 2l + 1$ in Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
V_2 &= \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{s_1}} \left(\frac{m^{1-s_2}}{s_2 - 1} - \frac{m^{-s_2}}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \frac{B_{k+1}}{(k+1)!} (s_2)_k m^{-s_2-k} + R_{M,m}(s_2) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{s_2 - 1} \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{s_1+s_2-1}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{s_1+s_2}} + \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \frac{B_{k+1}}{(k+1)!} (s_2)_k \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{s_1+s_2+k}} + \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{s_1}} R_{M,m}(s_2) \\
&= \frac{1}{s_2 - 1} \left(\zeta(s_1 + s_2 - 1) - \sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{1}{m^{s_1+s_2-1}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{s_1+s_2}} + \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \frac{B_{k+1}}{(k+1)!} (s_2)_k \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{s_1+s_2+k}} + \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{s_1}} R_{M,m}(s_2) \\
&= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4,
\end{aligned}$$

say. Since I_4 absolutely converges for $\sigma_2 > -M+1 = -2l$ and $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > -1$, V_2 is continued meromorophically to $\sigma_2 > -2l$ and $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > 1$. Now, we regard s_2 as a constant and set $N = [t_1]$. By Remark 2.1, we have $I_1 \ll t_1^{1-\sigma_1-\sigma_2}$. Also we can easily obtain $I_2, I_3, I_4 \ll t_1^{1-\sigma_1-\sigma_2}$. This implies the lemma. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1 for $3/2 < \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \leq 2$. We set

$$a_m = \zeta(s_2) - \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{1}{n^{s_2}}$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$a_m \ll \begin{cases} m^{1-\sigma_2} & (\sigma_2 \neq 1), \\ \log(m+1) & (\sigma_2 = 1). \end{cases}$$

Therefore, by setting $c = 1 - \sigma_2, d = 0$ $(\sigma_2 \neq 1)$ and $c = 0, d = 1$ $(\sigma_2 = 1)$ in Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\int_1^T \left| \sum_{m \leq t_1} a_m m^{-s_1} \right|^2 dt_1 = \zeta_2^{[1]}(2\sigma_1, s_2) T + \begin{cases} O(T^{4-2\sigma_1-2\sigma_2} \log T) & (\sigma_2 \neq 1, 3/2 < \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 < 2), \\ O((\log T)^2) & (\sigma_2 \neq 1, \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 = 2), \\ O(T^{2-2\sigma_1} (\log T)^3) & (\sigma_2 = 1, 1/2 < \sigma_1 < 1), \\ O((\log T)^4) & (\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 1). \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$I^{[1]}(T) = \int_2^T \left| \sum_{m \leq t_1} a_m m^{-s_1} \right|^2 dt_1 + O\left(\int_2^T t_1^{2-2\sigma_1-2\sigma_2} dt_1 \right) + O\left(\int_2^T \left| t_1^{1-\sigma_1-\sigma_2} \sum_{m \leq t_1} a_m m^{-s_1} \right| dt_1 \right)$$

by Lemma 3.1. Since the second term is $O(1)$, we have

$$\int_2^T \left| t_1^{1-\sigma_1-\sigma_2} \sum_{m \le t_1} a_m m^{-s_1} \right| dt_1 \ll T^{1/2}$$

by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. This implies the theorem. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1 for $\sigma_1+\sigma_2 = 3/2$. Since $\zeta(\sigma+it) \ll 1$ for $\sigma > 1$, we have

$$\zeta_2(s_1, s_2) = \frac{\zeta(1/2 + i(t_1+t_2))}{s_2 - 1} + O(1)$$

by Lemma 2.5. Therefore we have

$$I^{[1]}(T) = \frac{1}{|s_2-1|^2} \int_2^T |\zeta(1/2 + i(t_1+t_2))|^2 dt_1 + O\biggl(\int_2^T dt_1\biggr) + \\ + O\biggl(\int_2^T |\zeta(1/2 + i(t_1+t_2))| dt_1\biggr).$$

Since we know that

$$\int_2^T |\zeta(1/2+it)|^2 dt = T \log T + O(T)$$

holds (see Theorem 7.4 in [10]), we obtain the theorem by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. □

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we regard s_1 as a constant. We divide the proof into four cases.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 for $\sigma_2 > 1$ *and* $\sigma_1+\sigma_2 > 2$. We set

$$a_n = \frac{1}{n^{\sigma_2}} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{m^{s_1}}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$\zeta_2(s_1, s_2) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \biggl(\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{m^{s_1}} \biggr) \frac{1}{n^{\sigma_2+it_2}} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n n^{-it_2}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n|^2 = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \biggl(\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{m^{s_1}} \biggr) \frac{1}{n^{2\sigma_2 - 1}}$$

converges by (2.2), we have

$$I^{[2]}(T) = \zeta_2^{[2]}(s_1, 2\sigma_2)T + O(1)$$

by Lemma 2.1. □

We use the following lemma in the cases either $\sigma_1 > 1$, $1/2 < \sigma_2 \le 1$ or $\sigma_1 \le 1$, $3/2 < \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \le 2$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $s_1=\sigma_1+it_1, s_2=\sigma_2+it_2\in\mathbb{C}$ *with* $t_2\geq 1$. *Assume that the point* $(s_1,s_2)\in\mathbb{C}^2$ *does not encounter the singularities of* $\zeta_2(s_1,s_2)$ *and* $s_1\neq 1$. *For* $\sigma_2>0$, $\sigma_1+\sigma_2>1$ *and any fixed* s_1, *we have*

$$\zeta_2(s_1,s_2)=\sum_{2\leq n\leq t_2}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{m^{s_1}}\right)\frac{1}{n^{s_2}}+\begin{cases}O(t_2^{-\sigma_2}) & (\sigma_1>1),\\ O(t_2^{1-\sigma_1-\sigma_2}) & (\sigma_1\leq 1).\end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $l\in\mathbb{N}$ with $\sigma_1>-2l$. First, we regard s_1 and s_2 as complex variables and assume $\sigma_1,\sigma_2>1$. For any $N\in\mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\zeta_2(s_1,s_2)=\sum_{2\leq n\leq N}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{m^{s_1}}\right)\frac{1}{n^{s_2}}+\sum_{n>N}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{m^{s_1}}\right)=U_1+U_2, \tag{4.1}$$

say. The first term U_1 is obviously holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}^2$. By setting $M=2l+1$ in Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
U_2&=\sum_{n>N}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{n}\frac{1}{m^{s_1}}-\frac{1}{n^{s_1}}\right)\frac{1}{n^{s_2}}\\
&=\sum_{n>N}\left(\zeta(s_1)-\frac{n^{1-s_1}}{s_1-1}-\frac{n^{-s_1}}{2}-\sum_{k=1}^{M-1}\frac{B_{k+1}}{(k+1)!}(s_1)_k n^{-s_1-k}-R_{M,n}(s_1)\right)\frac{1}{n^{s_2}}\\
&=\zeta(s_1)\sum_{n>N}\frac{1}{n^{s_2}}+\frac{1}{1-s_1}\sum_{n>N}\frac{1}{n^{s_1+s_2-1}}-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n>N}\frac{1}{n^{s_1+s_2}}-\\
&\quad-\sum_{k=1}^{M-1}\frac{B_{k+1}}{(k+1)!}(s_1)_k\sum_{n>N}\frac{1}{n^{s_1+s_2+k}}-\sum_{n>N}\frac{1}{n^{s_2}}R_{M,n}(s_1)\\
&=\zeta(s_1)\left(\zeta(s_2)-\sum_{n=1}^{N}\frac{1}{n^{s_2}}\right)+\frac{1}{1-s_1}\left(\zeta(s_1+s_2-1)-\sum_{n=1}^{N}\frac{1}{n^{s_1+s_2-1}}\right)-\\
&\quad-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n>N}\frac{1}{n^{s_1+s_2}}-\sum_{k=1}^{M-1}\frac{B_{k+1}}{(k+1)!}(s_1)_k\sum_{n>N}\frac{1}{n^{s_1+s_2+k}}-\sum_{n>N}\frac{1}{n^{s_2}}R_{M,n}(s_1)\\
&=I_1+I_2+I_3+I_4+I_5,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

say. Since I_5 absolutely converges for $\sigma_1>-M+1=-2l$ and $\sigma_1+\sigma_2>-1$, U_2 is continued meromorophically to $\sigma_2>0$, $\sigma_1>-2l$ and $\sigma_1+\sigma_2>1$. Now, we regard s_1 as a constant and set $N=[t_2]$. By Remark 2.1, we have $I_1\ll t_2^{-\sigma_2}$ and $I_2\ll t_2^{1-\sigma_1-\sigma_2}$. Also we can easily obtain $I_3,I_4,I_5\ll t_2^{1-\sigma_1-\sigma_2}$. This implies the lemma. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2 for either $\sigma_1>1$, $1/2<\sigma_2\leq 1$ *or* $\sigma_1\leq 1$, $3/2<\sigma_1+\sigma_2\leq 2$. We set

$$a_n=\sum_{m=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{m^{s_1}}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$a_n \ll \begin{cases} 1 & (\sigma_1 > 1) \\ \log(n+1) & (\sigma_1 = 1) \\ n^{1-\sigma_1} & (\sigma_1 < 1). \end{cases}$$

Therefore, by setting $c = d = 0$ $(\sigma_1 > 1)$, $c = 0, d = 1$ $(\sigma_1 = 1)$ or $c = 1 - \sigma_1, d = 0$ $(\sigma_1 < 1)$ in Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\int_1^T \left| \sum_{n \le t_2} a_n n^{-s_2} \right|^2 dt_2 =$$

$$\zeta_2^{[2]}(s_1, 2\sigma_2)T + \begin{cases} O(T^{2-2\sigma_2}\log T) & (\sigma_1 > 1, 1/2 < \sigma_2 < 1), \\ O((\log T)^2) & (\sigma_1 > 1, \sigma_2 = 1), \\ O(T^{2-2\sigma_2}(\log T)^3) & (\sigma_1 = 1, 1/2 < \sigma_2 < 1), \\ O((\log T)^4) & (\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 1), \\ O(T^{4-2\sigma_1-2\sigma_2}\log T) & (\sigma_1 < 1, 3/2 < \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 < 2), \\ O((\log T)^2) & (\sigma_1 < 1, \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 = 2). \end{cases}$$

By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we can obtain the theorem from this evaluation. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2 for $\sigma_1 > 1$ and $\sigma_2 = 1/2$. From the well-known relation

$$\zeta_2(s_1, s_2) = \zeta(s_1)\zeta(s_2) - \zeta_2(s_2, s_1) - \zeta(s_1 + s_2),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} I^{[2]}(T) = &\int_2^T |\zeta(s_1)\zeta(s_2)|^2 dt_2 + \int_2^T |\zeta_2(s_2, s_1)|^2 dt_2 + \int_2^T |\zeta(s_1 + s_2)|^2 dt_2 + \\ &O\left(\int_2^T |\zeta(s_1)\zeta(s_2)\zeta_2(s_2, s_1)| dt_2\right) + O\left(\int_2^T |\zeta_2(s_2, s_1)\zeta(s_1 + s_2)| dt_2\right) \\ &+ O\left(\int_2^T |\zeta(s_1 + s_2)\zeta(s_1)\zeta(s_2)| dt_2\right) \\ = &J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4 + J_5 + J_6, \end{aligned}$$

say. Since we know that

$$\int_2^T |\zeta(\sigma + it)|^2 dt = O(T),$$

$$\int_2^T |\zeta(1/2 + it)|^2 dt = T\log T + O(T)$$

hold for $\sigma > 1/2$ (see Theorem 7.2 and Theorem 7.4 in [10]), we have

$$J_1 = |\zeta(s_1)|^2 T \log T + O(T)$$

and $J_3 \ll T$. Since $J_2 \ll T$ by Theorem 1.1, we have $J_4, J_6 \ll T(\log T)^{1/2}$ and $J_5 \ll T$ by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Therefore we obtain

$$I^{[2]}(T) = |\zeta(s_1)|^2 T \log T + O(T(\log T)^{1/2}).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.2 for $\sigma_1+\sigma_2=3/2$ and $\sigma_2>1/2$. We have

$$\int_2^T |\zeta_2(s_2,s_1)|^2 dt_2 = \frac{1}{|s_1-1|^2} T\log T + O(T(\log T)^{1/2})$$

by Theorem 1.1 and

$$\int_2^T |\zeta(s_1)\zeta(s_2)|^2 dt_2 = O(T).$$

From these evaluation, we can obtain the theorem by the same argument as in the last proof. □

5. Proof of Theorem 1.3

We divide the proof into four cases.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 for $\sigma_2>1$ and $\sigma_1+\sigma_2>2$. We set

$$a_k = \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{m|k\\ m<\sqrt{k}}} \frac{1}{m^{\sigma_1-\sigma_2}}\Bigg)\frac{1}{k^{\sigma_2}}$$

for $k\in\mathbb{N}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_2(s_1,s_2) &= \sum_{1\le m<n} \frac{1}{m^{\sigma_1}n^{\sigma_2}(mn)^{it}}\\ &= \sum_{k\ge 2}\Bigg(\sum_{\substack{mn=k\\ m<n}} \frac{1}{m^{\sigma_1}n^{\sigma_2}}\Bigg)\frac{1}{k^{it}}\\ &= \sum_{k\ge 2}\Bigg(\sum_{\substack{m|k\\ m<\sqrt{k}}} \frac{1}{m^{\sigma_1-\sigma_2}}\Bigg)\frac{1}{k^{\sigma_2+it}}\\ &= \sum_{k\ge 2} a_k k^{-it}.\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{k\ge 2} k|a_k|^2 = \sum_{k\ge 2}^{\infty}\Bigg(\sum_{\substack{m|k\\ m<\sqrt{k}}} \frac{1}{m^{\sigma_1-\sigma_2}}\Bigg)^2\frac{1}{k^{2\sigma_2-1}}$$

converges by (2.3), we have

$$I^{\square}(T) = \zeta_2^{\square}(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)T + O(1)$$

by Lemma 2.1. □

We use the following lemma in the cases either $\sigma_1>1$, $1/2<\sigma_2\le 1$ or $\sigma_1\le 1$, $3/2<\sigma_1+\sigma_2\le 2$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let* $\sigma_1+\sigma_2>1$, $\sigma_2>0$, $s_1=\sigma_1+it$ *and* $s_2=\sigma_2+it$. *Then*

$$\zeta_2(s_1,s_2)=\sum_{n\le t}n^{-s_2}\sum_{m=1}^{n-1}m^{-s_1}+\begin{cases}O(t^{-\sigma_2}) & (\sigma_1>1)\\ O(t^{-\sigma_2+\epsilon}) & (\sigma_1=1)\\ O(t^{1-\sigma_1-\sigma_2}) & (\sigma_1<1)\end{cases}$$

holds for $t\ge 2$.

In order to prove Lemma 5.1, we use the following lemma and corollary.

Lemma 5.2 (Lemma 2.2 in [5])**.** *Let* $s=\sigma+it$, $|t|>1$. *For* $N>\frac{1}{4}|t|$, $m\ge 1$ *and* $\sigma>-2m-1$, *we have*

$$\zeta(s)=\sum_{n\le N}\frac{1}{n^s}+\frac{N^{1-s}}{s-1}-\frac{N^{-s}}{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{2m}\frac{B_{k+1}}{(k+1)!}(s)_kN^{-(s+k)}+ \\ +O\bigl(|t|^{2m+1}N^{-\sigma-2m-1}\bigr),$$

where the implied constant does not depend on t.

Corollary 5.1 (Corollary 2.3 in [5])**.** *Let* $s=\sigma+it$, $|t|>1$. *For* $N>\frac{1}{4}|t|$ *and* $\sigma>-3$, *we have*

$$\zeta(s)=\sum_{n\le N}\frac{1}{n^s}+\frac{N^{1-s}}{s-1}-\frac{N^{-s}}{2}+\frac{s}{12}N^{-s-1}+O\bigl(|t|^3N^{-\sigma-3}\bigr),$$

where the implied constant does not depend on t.

The following proof is similar to that in [5] (section 4.1 Evaluation of $S_2(s_1,s_2)$).

Proof of Lemma 5.1. Let $l\in\mathbb{N}$ with $\sigma_1>-2l$. We use (4.1) and (4.2). Hence we obtain the analytic continuation of $\zeta_2(s_1,s_2)$ for $\sigma_2>0$, $\sigma_1>-2l$ and $\sigma_1+\sigma_2>1$. Now, we set $s_1=\sigma_1+it$, $s_2=\sigma_2+it$ with $t\ge 1$ and $N=[t]$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}I_1&=\zeta(s_1)\left(\frac{N^{1-s_2}}{s_2-1}-\frac{N^{-s_2}}{2}+\frac{s_2}{12}N^{-s_2-1}+O\bigl(|t|^3N^{-\sigma_2-3}\bigr)\right)\\ &\ll|\zeta(s_1)|t^{-\sigma_2}\\ &\ll\begin{cases}t^{-\sigma_2} & (\sigma_1>1)\\ t^{-\sigma_2+\epsilon} & (\sigma_1=1)\\ t^{1-\sigma_1-\sigma_2} & (\sigma_1<1)\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

for $\sigma_2>-3$ by Corollary 5.1. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}I_2=\frac{1}{1-s_1}\Biggl(&\frac{N^{2-s_1-s_2}}{s_1+s_2-2}-\frac{1}{2}N^{1-s_1-s_2}+\frac{s_1+s_2-1}{12}N^{-s_1-s_2}+\\ &+O\bigl(|t|^3N^{1-\sigma_1-\sigma_2-3}\bigr)\Biggr)\\ \ll t^{1-\sigma_1-\sigma_2}&\end{aligned}$$

for $\sigma_1+\sigma_2>-2$. Since $\sigma_1+\sigma_2>1$, we have

$$I_j \ll t^{1-\sigma_1-\sigma_2} \qquad (j=3,4).$$

On the other hand, $R_{M,n}(s_1)=O(t^M n^{-\sigma_1-M})$ for $\sigma_1>-M$ by Lemma 5.2. Hence we have

$$I_5 \ll t^M \sum_{n>N} \frac{1}{n^{\sigma_1+\sigma_2+M}} \ll t^{1-\sigma_1-\sigma_2}.$$

This implies the lemma. □

First, we consider the case $\sigma_1>\sigma_2$. Especially, this condition is satisfied when $\sigma_1>1$ and $1/2<\sigma_2\le 1$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 for $\sigma_1>\sigma_2$. If we set

$$A(s_1,s_2)=\sum_{n\le t} n^{-s_2}\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} m^{-s_1}$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_2^T |A(s_1,s_2)|^2 dt &= \int_2^T \left(\sum_{n_1\le t} n_1^{-s_2}\sum_{m_1=1}^{n_1-1} m_1^{-s_1}\sum_{n_2\le t} n_2^{-\overline{s_2}}\sum_{m_2=1}^{n_2-1} m_2^{-\overline{s_1}}\right)dt\\
&= \sum_{2\le n_1\le T}\sum_{m_1=1}^{n_1-1}\sum_{2\le n_2\le T}\sum_{m_2=1}^{n_2-1} n_1^{-\sigma_2}m_1^{-\sigma_1}n_2^{-\sigma_2}m_2^{-\sigma_1}\int_{M(n_1,n_2)}^T \left(\frac{m_2n_2}{m_1n_1}\right)^{it} dt\\
&= \sum_{m_1n_1=m_2n_2}\ \sum_{\substack{1\le m_1\le n_1-1\\ 2\le n_1\le T}}\ \sum_{\substack{1\le m_2\le n_2-1\\ 2\le n_2\le T}} n_1^{-\sigma_2}m_1^{-\sigma_1}n_2^{-\sigma_2}m_2^{-\sigma_1}\Big(T-M(n_1,n_2)\Big)\\
&\quad + \sum_{m_1n_1\ne m_2n_2}\ \sum_{\substack{1\le m_1\le n_1-1\\ 2\le n_1\le T}}\ \sum_{\substack{1\le m_2\le n_2-1\\ 2\le n_2\le T}} n_1^{-\sigma_2}m_1^{-\sigma_1}n_2^{-\sigma_2}m_2^{-\sigma_1}\\
&\qquad \times \frac{\exp\left(iT\log\left(\frac{m_2n_2}{m_1n_1}\right)\right)-\exp\left(iM(n_1,n_2)\log\left(\frac{m_2n_2}{m_1n_1}\right)\right)}{i\log\left(\frac{m_2n_2}{m_1n_1}\right)}\\
&= S_1T-S_2+S_3,
\end{aligned}$$

say, where $M(n_1,n_2)=\max(n_1,n_2)$. First, we rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1 &= \sum_{2\le k\le T}\Bigg(\sum_{\substack{mn=k\\ m<n\le T}} m^{-\sigma_1}n^{-\sigma_2}\Bigg)^2+\sum_{T<k<T^2}\Bigg(\sum_{\substack{mn=k\\ m<n\le T}} m^{-\sigma_1}n^{-\sigma_2}\Bigg)^2\\
&= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\Bigg(\sum_{\substack{mn=k\\ m<n}} m^{-\sigma_1}n^{-\sigma_2}\Bigg)^2-\sum_{k>T}\Bigg(\sum_{\substack{mn=k\\ m<n}} m^{-\sigma_1}n^{-\sigma_2}\Bigg)^2+\sum_{T<k<T^2}\Bigg(\sum_{\substack{mn=k\\ m<n\le T}} m^{-\sigma_1}n^{-\sigma_2}\Bigg)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k>T}\biggl(\sum_{mn=k} m^{-\sigma_1}n^{-\sigma_2}\biggr)^2 &= \sum_{k>T}\biggl(\sum_{m|k} m^{-\sigma_1}m^{\sigma_2}k^{-\sigma_2}\biggr)^2 \\ &\ll \sum_{k>T} k^{-2\sigma_2+\epsilon} \ll T^{1-2\sigma_2+\epsilon},\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$S_1 = \zeta_2^{\square}(\sigma_1,\sigma_2) + O(T^{1-2\sigma_2+\epsilon}).$$

Next, we rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}S_2 &= \sum_{m_1n_1=m_2n_2}\ \sum_{\substack{1\le m_1\le n_1-1\\ 2\le n_1\le T}}\ \sum_{\substack{1\le m_2\le n_2-1\\ 2\le n_2\le T}} n_1^{-\sigma_2}m_1^{-\sigma_1}n_2^{-\sigma_2}m_2^{-\sigma_1}M(n_1,n_2) \\ &= \sum_{2\le k\le T}\ \sum_{\substack{m_1n_1=k\\ 1\le m_1<n_1}} m_1^{-\sigma_1}n_1^{-\sigma_2}\Biggl(\sum_{\substack{m_2n_2=k\\ 1\le m_2<n_2\\ n_1<n_2}} n_2^{1-\sigma_2}m_2^{-\sigma_1} + \sum_{\substack{m_2n_2=k\\ 1\le m_2<n_2\\ n_1\ge n_2}} n_1n_2^{-\sigma_2}m_2^{-\sigma_1}\Biggr) \\ &\quad + \sum_{T<k<T^2}\ \sum_{\substack{m_1n_1=k\\ m_1<n_1\le T}} m_1^{-\sigma_1}n_1^{-\sigma_2}\Biggl(\sum_{\substack{m_2n_2=k\\ m_2<n_2\le T\\ n_1<n_2}} n_2^{1-\sigma_2}m_2^{-\sigma_1} + \sum_{\substack{m_2n_2=k\\ m_2<n_2\le T\\ n_1\ge n_2}} n_1n_2^{-\sigma_2}m_2^{-\sigma_1}\Biggr) \\ &= A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4,\end{aligned}$$

say. Since

$$\begin{aligned}A_1 &= \sum_{2\le k\le T}\ \sum_{\substack{m_1n_1=k\\ 1\le m_1<n_1}} m_1^{-\sigma_1}n_1^{-\sigma_2}\Biggl(\sum_{\substack{m_2n_2=k\\ 1\le m_2<n_2\\ n_1<n_2}} n_2^{1-\sigma_2}m_2^{-\sigma_1}\Biggr) \\ &= \sum_{2\le k\le T}\ \sum_{\substack{m_1n_1=k\\ 1\le m_1<n_1}} m_1^{-\sigma_1}n_1^{-\sigma_2} \sum_{\substack{m_2|k\\ m_2<\sqrt{k}\\ m_2<\frac{k}{n_1}}} k^{1-\sigma_2}m_2^{\sigma_2-1-\sigma_1} \\ &\ll \sum_{2\le k\le T} k^{1-\sigma_2+\epsilon} \sum_{\substack{m_1|k\\ m_1<\sqrt{k}}} m_1^{\sigma_2-\sigma_1}k^{-\sigma_2} \\ &\ll \sum_{2\le k\le T} k^{1-2\sigma_2+\epsilon} \ll T^{2-2\sigma_2+\epsilon},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 &= \sum_{2\le k\le T} \sum_{\substack{m_1n_1=k\\ 1\le m_1<n_1}} m_1^{-\sigma_1} n_1^{1-\sigma_2} \sum_{\substack{m_2n_2=k\\ 1\le m_2<n_2\\ n_1\ge n_2}} n_2^{-\sigma_2} m_2^{-\sigma_1}\\
&= \sum_{2\le k\le T} \sum_{\substack{m_1n_1=k\\ 1\le m_1<n_1}} m_1^{-\sigma_1} n_1^{-\sigma_2+1} \sum_{\substack{m_2|k\\ 1\le m_2<\sqrt{k}\\ \frac{k}{m_2}\le n_1}} k^{-\sigma_2} m_2^{\sigma_2-\sigma_1}\\
&\ll \sum_{2\le k\le T} \sum_{\substack{m_1n_1=k\\ 1\le m_1<n_1}} m_1^{-\sigma_1} n_1^{-\sigma_2+1} k^{-\sigma_2+\epsilon}\\
&= \sum_{2\le k\le T} \sum_{\substack{m_1|k\\ m_1<\sqrt{k}}} m_1^{-\sigma_1+\sigma_2-1} k^{-2\sigma_2+1+\epsilon}\\
&\ll \sum_{2\le k\le T} k^{-2\sigma_2+1+\epsilon} \ll T^{2-2\sigma_2+\epsilon},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_3 &= \sum_{T<k<T^2} \sum_{\substack{m_1n_1=k\\ m_1<n_1\le T}} m_1^{-\sigma_1} n_1^{-\sigma_2} \sum_{\substack{m_2n_2=k\\ m_2<n_2\le T\\ n_1<n_2}} n_2^{1-\sigma_2} m_2^{-\sigma_1}\\
&= \sum_{T<k<T^2} \sum_{\substack{m_1n_1=k\\ m_1<n_1\le T}} m_1^{-\sigma_1} n_1^{-\sigma_2} \sum_{\substack{m_2|k\\ m_2<\sqrt{k}\\ n_1<\frac{k}{m_2}\le T}} k^{1-\sigma_2} m_2^{-1+\sigma_2-\sigma_1}\\
&\ll \sum_{T<k<T^2} \sum_{\substack{m_1n_1=k\\ m_1<n_1\le T}} m_1^{-\sigma_1} n_1^{-\sigma_2} k^{1-\sigma_2} \left(\frac{k}{T}\right)^{-1+\sigma_2-\sigma_1} k^{\epsilon}\\
&= T^{1-\sigma_2+\sigma_1} \sum_{T<k<T^2} k^{-\sigma_1+\epsilon} \sum_{\substack{m_1|k\\ m_1<\sqrt{k}\\ \frac{k}{T}\le m_1}} m_1^{-\sigma_1} k^{-\sigma_2} m_1^{\sigma_2}\\
&\ll T^{1-\sigma_2+\sigma_1} \sum_{T<k<T^2} k^{-\sigma_1-\sigma_2+\epsilon} \left(\frac{k}{T}\right)^{-\sigma_1+\sigma_2}\\
&= T^{1-2\sigma_2+2\sigma_1} \sum_{T<k<T^2} k^{-2\sigma_1+\epsilon} \ll T^{2-2\sigma_2+\epsilon}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$A_4 = \sum_{T<k<T^2} \sum_{\substack{m_1n_1=k\\ m_1<n_1\le T}} m_1^{-\sigma_1} n_1^{1-\sigma_2} \sum_{\substack{m_2n_2=k\\ m_2<n_2\le T\\ n_2\le n_1}} n_2^{-\sigma_2} m_2^{-\sigma_1}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{T<k<T^2} \sum_{\substack{m_1n_1=k\\ m_1<n_1\le T}} m_1^{-\sigma_1} n_1^{1-\sigma_2} \sum_{\substack{m_2|k\\ \frac{k}{T}\le m_2<\sqrt{k}\\ \frac{k}{n_1}\le m_2}} k^{-\sigma_2} m_2^{\sigma_2-\sigma_1}\\
&\ll \sum_{T<k<T^2} \sum_{\substack{m_1n_1=k\\ m_1<n_1\le T}} m_1^{-\sigma_1} n_1^{1-\sigma_2} k^{-\sigma_2} \left(\frac{k}{n_1}\right)^{\sigma_2-\sigma_1} k^{\epsilon}\\
&= \sum_{T<k<T^2} \sum_{\substack{m_1n_1=k\\ m_1<n_1\le T}} m_1^{-\sigma_1} n_1^{1+\sigma_1-2\sigma_2} k^{-\sigma_1+\epsilon}\\
&= \sum_{T<k<T^2} \sum_{\substack{m_1|k\\ m_1<\sqrt{k}\\ \frac{k}{T}\le m_1}} m_1^{-\sigma_1} \left(\frac{k}{m_1}\right)^{1+\sigma_1-2\sigma_2} k^{-\sigma_1+\epsilon}\\
&= \sum_{T<k<T^2} \sum_{\substack{m_1|k\\ m_1<\sqrt{k}\\ \frac{k}{T}\le m_1}} k^{1-2\sigma_2+\epsilon} m_1^{-1-2\sigma_1+2\sigma_2}\\
&\ll \sum_{T<k<T^2} k^{1-2\sigma_2+\epsilon} \left(\frac{k}{T}\right)^{-1-2\sigma_1+2\sigma_2}\\
&= T^{1+2\sigma_1-2\sigma_2} \sum_{T<k<T^2} k^{-2\sigma_1+\epsilon} \ll T^{2-2\sigma_2+\epsilon},
\end{aligned}
$$

we have $S_2 \ll T^{2-2\sigma_2+\epsilon}$. Next, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_3 = &\sum_{m_1n_1\ne m_2n_2} \sum_{\substack{1\le m_1\le n_1-1\\ 2\le n_1\le T}} \sum_{\substack{1\le m_2\le n_2-1\\ 2\le n_2\le T}} n_1^{-\sigma_2} m_1^{-\sigma_1} n_2^{-\sigma_2} m_2^{-\sigma_1}\\
&\times \frac{\exp\left(iT\log\left(\frac{m_2n_2}{m_1n_1}\right)\right) - \exp\left(iM(n_1,n_2)\log\left(\frac{m_2n_2}{m_1n_1}\right)\right)}{i\log\left(\frac{m_2n_2}{m_1n_1}\right)}\\
\ll &\sum_{m_1n_1<m_2n_2} \sum_{\substack{1\le m_1\le n_1-1\\ 2\le n_1\le T}} \sum_{\substack{1\le m_2\le n_2-1\\ 2\le n_2\le T}} n_1^{-\sigma_2} m_1^{-\sigma_1} n_2^{-\sigma_2} m_2^{-\sigma_1} \frac{1}{\log\left(\frac{m_2n_2}{m_1n_1}\right)}\\
= &\sum_{m_1n_1<m_2n_2<2m_1n_1} \sum_{\substack{1\le m_1\le n_1-1\\ 2\le n_1\le T}} \sum_{\substack{1\le m_2\le n_2-1\\ 2\le n_2\le T}} n_1^{-\sigma_2} m_1^{-\sigma_1} n_2^{-\sigma_2} m_2^{-\sigma_1} \frac{1}{\log\left(\frac{m_2n_2}{m_1n_1}\right)}\\
+ &\sum_{m_2n_2\ge 2m_1n_1} \sum_{\substack{1\le m_1\le n_1-1\\ 2\le n_1\le T}} \sum_{\substack{1\le m_2\le n_2-1\\ 2\le n_2\le T}} n_1^{-\sigma_2} m_1^{-\sigma_1} n_2^{-\sigma_2} m_2^{-\sigma_1} \frac{1}{\log\left(\frac{m_2n_2}{m_1n_1}\right)}\\
= &B_1 + B_2,
\end{aligned}
$$

say. In order to evaluate B_2, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
B_2 &\ll \sum_{m_2n_2\geq 2m_1n_1} \sum_{\substack{1\leq m_1\leq n_1-1\\ 2\leq n_1\leq T}} \sum_{\substack{1\leq m_2\leq n_2-1\\ 2\leq n_2\leq T}} n_1^{-\sigma_2}m_1^{-\sigma_1}n_2^{-\sigma_2}m_2^{-\sigma_1}\\
&= \sum_{\substack{m_2n_2\geq 2m_1n_1\\ 2m_1n_1\leq T}} \sum_{\substack{1\leq m_1\leq n_1-1\\ 2\leq n_1\leq T}} \sum_{\substack{1\leq m_2\leq n_2-1\\ 2\leq n_2\leq T}} n_1^{-\sigma_2}m_1^{-\sigma_1}n_2^{-\sigma_2}m_2^{-\sigma_1}\\
&\quad+ \sum_{\substack{m_2n_2\geq 2m_1n_1\\ T<2m_1n_1\leq 2T}} \sum_{\substack{1\leq m_1\leq n_1-1\\ 2\leq n_1\leq T}} \sum_{\substack{1\leq m_2\leq n_2-1\\ 2\leq n_2\leq T}} n_1^{-\sigma_2}m_1^{-\sigma_1}n_2^{-\sigma_2}m_2^{-\sigma_1}\\
&\quad+ \sum_{\substack{m_2n_2\geq 2m_1n_1\\ m_1n_1>T}} \sum_{\substack{1\leq m_1\leq n_1-1\\ 2\leq n_1\leq T}} \sum_{\substack{1\leq m_2\leq n_2-1\\ 2\leq n_2\leq T}} n_1^{-\sigma_2}m_1^{-\sigma_1}n_2^{-\sigma_2}m_2^{-\sigma_1}\\
&= C_1+C_2+C_3,
\end{aligned}$$

say. Now, let $j\in\mathbb{N}$. If $j\leq T$ then we have

$$\sum_{\substack{m_2n_2=j\\ 1\leq m_2\leq n_2-1\\ 2\leq n_2\leq T}} m_2^{-\sigma_1}n_2^{-\sigma_2} = \sum_{\substack{m_2|j\\ m_2<\sqrt{j}}} m_1^{-\sigma_1+\sigma_2}j^{-\sigma_2} \ll j^{-\sigma_2+\epsilon}$$

and if $T<j<T^2$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{m_2n_2=j\\ 1\leq m_2n_2\leq n_2-1\\ 2\leq n_2\leq T}} m_2^{-\sigma_1}n_2^{-\sigma_2} &= \sum_{\substack{m_2|j\\ \frac{j}{T}\leq m_2<\sqrt{j}}} m_2^{-\sigma_1+\sigma_2}j^{-\sigma_2}\\
&\ll \left(\frac{j}{T}\right)^{-\sigma_1+\sigma_2} j^{-\sigma_2+\epsilon}\\
&= j^{-\sigma_1+\epsilon}T^{\sigma_1-\sigma_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

From these evaluations, if we set

$$\lambda=\begin{cases} 1-\sigma_2+\epsilon & (\sigma_1>1),\\ 2-\sigma_1-\sigma_2+\epsilon & (\sigma_1\leq 1)\end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 &\ll \sum_{2\leq k\leq \frac{T}{2}} \sum_{\substack{m_1|k\\ m_1<\sqrt{k}}} m_1^{-\sigma_1+\sigma_2}k^{-\sigma_2}\left(\sum_{2k\leq j_1\leq T} j_1^{-\sigma_2+\epsilon} + \sum_{T<j_2<T^2} j_2^{-\sigma_1+\epsilon}T^{\sigma_1-\sigma_2}\right)\\
&\ll \sum_{2\leq k\leq \frac{T}{2}} \sum_{\substack{m_1|k\\ m_1<\sqrt{k}}} m_1^{-\sigma_1+\sigma_2}k^{-\sigma_2}T^{\lambda}\\
&\ll T^{\lambda}\sum_{2\leq k\leq \frac{T}{2}} k^{-\sigma_2+\epsilon}\\
&\ll \begin{cases} T^{2-2\sigma_2+\epsilon} & (\sigma_1>1),\\ T^{3-\sigma_1-2\sigma_2+\epsilon} & (\sigma_1\leq 1). \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

By the same argument, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
C_2 &\ll \sum_{\frac{T}{2}<k\le T} \sum_{\substack{m_1|k\\ m_1<\sqrt{k}}} m_1^{-\sigma_1+\sigma_2} k^{-\sigma_2} \sum_{T<j<T^2} j^{-\sigma_1+\epsilon} T^{\sigma_1-\sigma_2}\\
&\ll \begin{cases} T^{2-2\sigma_2+\epsilon} & (\sigma_1>1),\\ T^{3-\sigma_1-2\sigma_2+\epsilon} & (\sigma_1\le 1).\end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

If λ is the same as in (5.1) then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
C_3 &\ll \sum_{T<k<T^2} \sum_{\substack{m_1|k\\ m_1<\sqrt{k}\\ \frac{k}{T}\le m_1}} m_1^{-\sigma_1+\sigma_2} k^{-\sigma_2} \sum_{T<j<T^2} j^{-\sigma_1+\epsilon} T^{\sigma_1-\sigma_2}\\
&\ll T^{\lambda} \sum_{T<k<T^2} k^{-\sigma_2} \sum_{\substack{m_1|k\\ m_1<\sqrt{k}\\ \frac{k}{T}\le m_1}} m_1^{-\sigma_1+\sigma_2}\\
&\ll T^{\lambda+\sigma_1-\sigma_2} \sum_{T<k<T^2} k^{-\sigma_1+\epsilon}\\
&\ll \begin{cases} T^{2-2\sigma_2+\epsilon} & (\sigma_1>1),\\ T^{4-2\sigma_1-2\sigma_2+\epsilon} & (\sigma_1\le 1).\end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$B_2 \ll \begin{cases} T^{2-2\sigma_2+\epsilon} & (\sigma_1>1),\\ T^{4-2\sigma_1-2\sigma_2+\epsilon} & (\sigma_1\le 1).\end{cases}$$

In order to evaluate B_1, we rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 &= \sum_{\substack{m_1n_1<m_2n_2<2m_1n_1\\ 2m_1n_1<T}} \sum_{\substack{1\le m_1\le n_1-1\\ 2\le n_1\le T}} \sum_{\substack{1\le m_2\le n_2-1\\ 2\le n_2\le T}} n_1^{-\sigma_2} m_1^{-\sigma_1} n_2^{-\sigma_2} m_2^{-\sigma_1} \frac{1}{\log\left(\frac{m_2n_2}{m_1n_1}\right)}\\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{m_1n_1<m_2n_2<2m_1n_1\\ \frac{T}{2}\le m_1n_1\le T}} \sum_{\substack{1\le m_1\le n_1-1\\ 2\le n_1\le T}} \sum_{\substack{1\le m_2\le n_2-1\\ 2\le n_2\le T}} n_1^{-\sigma_2} m_1^{-\sigma_1} n_2^{-\sigma_2} m_2^{-\sigma_1} \frac{1}{\log\left(\frac{m_2n_2}{m_1n_1}\right)}\\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{m_1n_1<m_2n_2<2m_1n_1\\ m_1n_1>T}} \sum_{\substack{1\le m_1\le n_1-1\\ 2\le n_1\le T}} \sum_{\substack{1\le m_2\le n_2-1\\ 2\le n_2\le T}} n_1^{-\sigma_2} m_1^{-\sigma_1} n_2^{-\sigma_2} m_2^{-\sigma_1} \frac{1}{\log\left(\frac{m_2n_2}{m_1n_1}\right)}\\
&= D_1+D_2+D_3,
\end{aligned}$$

say. Now, we set $n_2 = \frac{m_1n_1+r}{m_2}$. If $m_2n_2 \le T$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{m_2 | m_1n_1+r \\ m_2 < \sqrt{m_1n_1+r}}} m_2^{-\sigma_1} n_2^{-\sigma_2} n_1^{-\sigma_2} m_1^{-\sigma_1} \frac{1}{\log\left(\frac{n_2m_2}{n_1m_1}\right)} \\
&\ll \sum_{\substack{m_2 | m_1n_1+r \\ m_2 < \sqrt{m_1n_1+r}}} m_2^{\sigma_2-\sigma_1} (m_1n_1+r)^{-\sigma_2} \frac{n_1m_1}{r} m_1^{-\sigma_1} n_1^{-\sigma_2} \\
&\ll (m_1n_1+r)^{-\sigma_2+\epsilon} \frac{m_1^{1-\sigma_1} n_1^{1-\sigma_2}}{r}
\end{aligned}$$

and if $m_2n_2 > T$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{m_2 | m_1n_1+r \\ m_2 < \sqrt{m_1n_1+r} \\ \frac{m_1n_1+r}{T} \le m_2}} m_2^{-\sigma_1} n_2^{-\sigma_2} n_1^{-\sigma_2} m_1^{-\sigma_1} \frac{1}{\log\left(\frac{n_2m_2}{n_1m_1}\right)} \\
&\ll \sum_{\substack{m_2 | m_1n_1+r \\ m_2 < \sqrt{m_1n_1+r} \\ \frac{m_1n_1+r}{T} \le m_2}} m_2^{-\sigma_1} \left(\frac{m_1n_1+r}{m_2}\right)^{-\sigma_2} \frac{m_1^{1-\sigma_1} n_1^{1-\sigma_2}}{r} \\
&\ll \left(\frac{m_1n_1+r}{T}\right)^{\sigma_2-\sigma_1} (m_1n_1+r)^{-\sigma_2+\epsilon} \frac{m_1^{1-\sigma_1} n_1^{1-\sigma_2}}{r}.
\end{aligned}$$

From these evaluations and Remark 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 &\ll \sum_{2 \le k < \frac{T}{2}} \sum_{\substack{m_1 | k \\ m_1 < \sqrt{k}}} \sum_{r=1}^{k} (k+r)^{-\sigma_2+\epsilon} \frac{k^{1-\sigma_2}}{r} m_1^{\sigma_2-\sigma_1} \\
&\ll \sum_{2 \le k < \frac{T}{2}} \sum_{\substack{m_1 | k \\ m_1 < \sqrt{k}}} k^{-2\sigma_2+1+\epsilon} m_1^{\sigma_2-\sigma_1} \ll T^{-2\sigma_2+2+\epsilon}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
D_2 \ll &\sum_{\frac{T}{2} \le k \le T} \sum_{\substack{m_1 | k \\ m_1 < \sqrt{k}}} \sum_{1 \le r \le T-k} (k+r)^{-\sigma_2+\epsilon} \frac{k m_1^{-\sigma_1}}{r} \left(\frac{k}{m_1}\right)^{-\sigma_2} + \\
&+ \sum_{\frac{T}{2} \le k \le T} \sum_{\substack{m_1 | k \\ m_1 < \sqrt{k}}} \sum_{T-k < r \le k} \left(\frac{k+r}{T}\right)^{\sigma_2-\sigma_1} (k+r)^{-\sigma_2+\epsilon} \frac{m_1^{1-\sigma_1} \left(\frac{k}{m_1}\right)^{1-\sigma_2}}{r} \\
&= E_1 + E_2,
\end{aligned}$$

say. By Remark 2.2, we obtain

$$E_1 \ll \sum_{\frac{T}{2} \le k \le T} \sum_{\substack{m_1 | k \\ m_1 < \sqrt{k}}} k^{1-2\sigma_2+\epsilon} m_1^{\sigma_2-\sigma_1} \ll \sum_{\frac{T}{2} \le k \le T} k^{1-2\sigma_2+\epsilon} \ll T^{2-2\sigma_2+\epsilon}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 &\ll \sum_{\frac{T}{2}\le k\le T}\ \sum_{\substack{m_1|k\\ m_1<\sqrt{k}}}\ \sum_{T-k\le r\le k} T^{\sigma_1-\sigma_2}(k+r)^{-\sigma_1+\epsilon}m_1^{\sigma_2-\sigma_1}k^{1-\sigma_2}r^{-1}\\ &\ll \sum_{\frac{T}{2}\le k\le T}\ \sum_{\substack{m_1|k\\ m_1<\sqrt{k}}} T^{\sigma_1-\sigma_2}T^{-\sigma_1+\epsilon}m_1^{\sigma_2-\sigma_1}k^{1-\sigma_2}\\ &\ll T^{2-2\sigma_2+\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have $D_2 \ll T^{2-2\sigma_2+\epsilon}$. Lastly, we evaluate D_3. By Remark 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} D_3 &= \sum_{T<m_1n_1<m_2n_2<2m_1n_1}\ \sum_{\substack{1\le m_1\le n_1-1\\ 2\le n_1\le T}}\ \sum_{\substack{1\le m_2\le n_2-1\\ 2\le n_2\le T}} m_2^{-\sigma_1}n_2^{-\sigma_2}n_1^{-\sigma_2}m_1^{-\sigma_1}\frac{1}{\log\left(\frac{n_2m_2}{n_1m_1}\right)}\\ &\ll \sum_{T<m_1n_1<m_2n_2<2m_1n_1}\ \sum_{\substack{1\le m_1\le n_1-1\\ 2\le n_1\le T}}\ \sum_{r=1}^{m_1n_1}\ \sum_{\substack{m_2|m_1n_1+r\\ m_2<\sqrt{m_1n_1+r}\\ \frac{m_1n_1+r}{T}<m_2}} m_1^{-\sigma_1}n_1^{-\sigma_2}m_2^{-\sigma_1}\left(\frac{m_1n_1+r}{m_2}\right)^{-\sigma_2}\frac{m_1n_1}{r}\\ &\ll \sum_{T<m_1n_1<m_2n_2<2m_1n_1}\ \sum_{\substack{1\le m_1\le n_1-1\\ 2\le n_1\le T}}\ \sum_{r=1}^{m_1n_1}\left(\frac{m_1n_1+r}{T}\right)^{\sigma_2-\sigma_1} m_1^{1-\sigma_1}n_1^{1-\sigma_2}r^{-1}(m_1n_1+r)^{-\sigma_2+\epsilon}\\ &\ll \sum_{T\le k<T^2}\ \sum_{\substack{m_1|k\\ \frac{k}{T}<m_1<\sqrt{k}}}\ \sum_{r=1}^{k} T^{\sigma_1-\sigma_2}(k+r)^{-\sigma_1+\epsilon}m_1^{\sigma_2-\sigma_1}k^{1-\sigma_2}r^{-1}\\ &\ll \sum_{T\le k<T^2}\ \sum_{\substack{m_1|k\\ \frac{k}{T}<m_1<\sqrt{k}}} k^{-\sigma_1+\epsilon}T^{\sigma_1-\sigma_2}m_1^{\sigma_2-\sigma_1}k^{1-\sigma_2}\\ &\ll \sum_{T\le k<T^2} k^{1-\sigma_1-\sigma_2+\epsilon}\left(\frac{k}{T}\right)^{\sigma_2-\sigma_1}T^{\sigma_1-\sigma_2}\\ &\ll \begin{cases} T^{2-2\sigma_2+\epsilon} & (\sigma_1>1),\\ T^{4-2\sigma_1-2\sigma_2+\epsilon} & (\sigma_1\le 1). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$B_1 \ll \begin{cases} T^{2-2\sigma_2+\epsilon} & (\sigma_1>1),\\ T^{4-2\sigma_1-2\sigma_2+\epsilon} & (\sigma_1\le 1). \end{cases}$$

This implies

$$S_3 \ll \begin{cases} T^{2-2\sigma_2+\epsilon} & (\sigma_1>1),\\ T^{4-2\sigma_1-2\sigma_2+\epsilon} & (\sigma_1\le 1). \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have

$$\int_2^T |A(s_1,s_2)|^2dt = \zeta_2^{\square}(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)T + \begin{cases} O(T^{2-2\sigma_2+\epsilon}) & (\sigma_1>1),\\ O(T^{4-2\sigma_1-2\sigma_2+\epsilon}) & (\sigma_1\le 1). \end{cases}$$

Now, if we set

$$\lambda = \begin{cases} -\sigma_2 & (\sigma_1 > 1), \\ -\sigma_2 + \epsilon & (\sigma_1 = 1), \\ 1 - \sigma_1 - \sigma_2 & (\sigma_1 < 1) \end{cases}$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_2^T |\zeta(s_1, s_2)|^2 dt &= \int_2^T |A(s_1, s_2) + O(t^\lambda)|^2 dt \\ &= \int_2^T |A(s_1, s_2)|^2 dt + O\left(\int_2^T |A(s_1, s_2) t^\lambda| dt\right) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_2^T |A(s_1, s_2) t^\lambda| dt &\ll \left(\int_2^T |A(s_1, s_2)|^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_2^T t^{2\lambda} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\ll T^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the theorems. □

Next, we consider the case $\sigma_1 \le \sigma_2$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 for $\sigma_1 \le \sigma_2$. Hereafter we use the same notations as in the previous proof. First we evaluate S_1. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k>T} \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{mn=k \\ m<n}} m^{-\sigma_1} n^{-\sigma_2} \Bigg)^2 &= \sum_{k>T} \Bigg(\sum_{\substack{m|k \\ m<\sqrt{k}}} m^{-\sigma_1} m^{\sigma_2} k^{-\sigma_2} \Bigg)^2 \\ &\ll \sum_{k>T} k^{-2\sigma_2} \left(k^{\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1) + \epsilon} \right)^2 \\ &\ll \sum_{k>T} k^{-\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 + \epsilon} \ll T^{1 - \sigma_1 - \sigma_2 + \epsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$S_1 = \zeta_2^{\square}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) + O(T^{1 - \sigma_1 - \sigma_2 + \epsilon}).$$

Next we evaluate S_2. Since

$$\sum_{\substack{mn=k \\ m<n}} m^{-\sigma_1} n^{-\sigma_2} = \sum_{\substack{m|k \\ m<\sqrt{k}}} m^{\sigma_2 - \sigma_1} k^{-\sigma_2} \ll k^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) + \epsilon}, \tag{5.2}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{mn=k \\ m<n}} m^{-\sigma_1} n^{1-\sigma_2} = \sum_{\substack{m|k \\ m<\sqrt{k}}} k^{1-\sigma_2} m^{\sigma_2 - \sigma_1 - 1} \ll \begin{cases} k^{1 - \sigma_2 + \epsilon} & (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1 - 1 \le 0) \\ k^{\frac{1}{2}(1 - \sigma_1 - \sigma_2) + \epsilon} & (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1 - 1 > 0) \end{cases}$$

hold, we have

$$A_1, A_2 \ll \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{2\le k\le T} k^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)+\epsilon} k^{1-\sigma_2+\epsilon} & (\sigma_2-\sigma_1-1\le 0) \\ \displaystyle\sum_{2\le k\le T} k^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)+\epsilon} k^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\sigma_1-\sigma_2)+\epsilon} & (\sigma_2-\sigma_1-1>0) \end{cases}$$
$$= \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{2\le k\le T} k^{1-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_1-\frac{3}{2}\sigma_2+\epsilon} & (\sigma_2-\sigma_1-1\le 0) \\ \displaystyle\sum_{2\le k\le T} k^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma_1-\sigma_2+\epsilon} & (\sigma_2-\sigma_1-1>0). \end{cases}$$

We note that $1-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_1-\frac{3}{2}\sigma_2<-1$ is equivalent to $\sigma_2>-\frac{1}{3}\sigma_1+\frac{4}{3}$. Hence we have

$$A_1, A_2 \ll \begin{cases} T^{2-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_1-\frac{3}{2}\sigma_2+\epsilon} & (\sigma_2-\sigma_1-1\le 0 \text{ and } \sigma_2\le -\frac{1}{3}\sigma_1+\frac{4}{3}), \\ 1 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}$$

because $\sigma_1+\sigma_2>3/2$. Similarly, we have

$$A_3, A_4 \ll \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{T<k<T^2} k^{1-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_1-\frac{3}{2}\sigma_2+\epsilon} & (\sigma_2-\sigma_1-1\le 0) \\ \displaystyle\sum_{T<k<T^2} k^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma_1-\sigma_2+\epsilon} & (\sigma_2-\sigma_1-1>0) \end{cases}$$
$$\ll \begin{cases} T^{4-\sigma_1-3\sigma_2+\epsilon} & (\sigma_2-\sigma_1-1\le 0 \text{ and } \sigma_2\le -\frac{1}{3}\sigma_1+\frac{4}{3}) \\ 1 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have

$$S_2 \ll \begin{cases} T^{4-\sigma_1-3\sigma_2+\epsilon} & (\sigma_2-\sigma_1-1\le 0 \text{ and } \sigma_2\le -\frac{1}{3}\sigma_1+\frac{4}{3}) \\ 1 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

Next we evaluate S_3. If we set $m_2n_2=j$, by (5.2) and $-1\le -\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)<-3/4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &\ll \sum_{2\le k\le \frac{T}{2}} \sum_{\substack{m_1|k \\ m_1<\sqrt{k}}} m_1^{-\sigma_1+\sigma_2} k^{-\sigma_2} \left(\sum_{2k\le j<T^2} j^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)+\epsilon} \right) \\ &\ll T^{2-\sigma_1-\sigma_2+\epsilon} \sum_{2\le k\le \frac{T}{2}} k^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)+\epsilon} \\ &\ll T^{3-\frac{3}{2}(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)+\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have $C_2 \ll T^{3-\frac{3}{2}(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)+\epsilon}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
C_3 &\ll \sum_{T<k<T^2} \sum_{\substack{m_1|k \\ \frac{k}{T}\le m_1<\sqrt{k}}} m_1^{-\sigma_1+\sigma_2} k^{-\sigma_2} \left(\sum_{T\le j<T^2} j^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)+\epsilon} \right) \\
&\ll T^{2-\sigma_1-\sigma_2+\epsilon} \sum_{T<k<T^2} k^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)+\epsilon} \\
&\ll T^{4-2\sigma_1-2\sigma_2+\epsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $4-2\sigma_1-2\sigma_2-(3-\frac{3}{2}(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)) = 1-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1+\sigma_2) \geq 0$, we see that $B_2 \ll T^{4-2\sigma_1-2\sigma_2+\epsilon}$ holds. Now, we set $m_2n_2 = m_1n_1+r$ $(r \in \{1,2,\dots,m_1n_1-1\})$. Since $x \asymp \log(1+x)$ for $x \in [0,1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{m_2|m_1n_1+r \\ m_2<\sqrt{m_1n_1+r}}} m_2^{-\sigma_1} n_2^{-\sigma_2} n_1^{-\sigma_2} m_1^{-\sigma_1} \frac{1}{\log\left(\frac{n_2m_2}{n_1m_1}\right)} \\
&\ll \sum_{\substack{m_2|m_1n_1+r \\ m_2<\sqrt{m_1n_1+r}}} m_2^{\sigma_2-\sigma_1} (m_1n_1+r)^{-\sigma_2} \frac{n_1m_1}{r} m_1^{-\sigma_1} n_1^{-\sigma_2} \\
&\ll (m_1n_1+r)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)+\epsilon} \frac{m_1^{1-\sigma_1} n_1^{1-\sigma_2}}{r}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this evaluation and Remark 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 &= \sum_{\substack{m_1n_1=k \\ 2\le k<\frac{T}{2} \\ m_1<n_1}} \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{m_2|m_1n_1+r \\ m_2<\sqrt{m_1n_1+r}}} m_2^{-\sigma_1} n_2^{-\sigma_2} n_1^{-\sigma_2} m_1^{-\sigma_1} \frac{1}{\log\left(\frac{n_2m_2}{n_1m_1}\right)} \\
&\ll \sum_{2\le k<\frac{T}{2}} \sum_{\substack{m_1|k \\ m_1<\sqrt{k}}} \sum_{r=1}^{k} (k+r)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)+\epsilon} \frac{k^{1-\sigma_2}}{r} m_1^{\sigma_2-\sigma_1} \\
&\ll \sum_{2\le k<\frac{T}{2}} \sum_{\substack{m_1|k \\ m_1<\sqrt{k}}} m_1^{\sigma_2-\sigma_1} k^{1-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_1-\frac{3}{2}\sigma_2+\epsilon} \\
&\ll \sum_{2\le k<\frac{T}{2}} k^{\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_2-\sigma_1)+\epsilon} k^{1-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_1-\frac{3}{2}\sigma_2+\epsilon} \\
&= \sum_{2\le k<\frac{T}{2}} k^{1-\sigma_1-\sigma_2+\epsilon} \\
&\ll T^{2-\sigma_1-\sigma_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have $D_2 \ll T^{2-\sigma_1-\sigma_2}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} D_3 &= \sum_{\substack{m_1n_1=k \\ T<k<T^2 \\ m_1<n_1}} \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \sum_{\substack{m_2|m_1n_1+r \\ m_2<\sqrt{m_1n_1+r}}} m_2^{-\sigma_1}n_2^{-\sigma_2}n_1^{-\sigma_2}m_1^{-\sigma_1}\frac{1}{\log\left(\frac{n_2m_2}{n_1m_1}\right)} \\ &\ll \sum_{T<k<T^2} k^{1-\sigma_1-\sigma_2+\epsilon} \\ &\ll T^{4-2\sigma_1-2\sigma_2+\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have $B_1 \ll T^{4-2\sigma_1-2\sigma_2+\epsilon}$, and we have $S_3 \ll T^{4-2\sigma_1-2\sigma_2+\epsilon}$. Since $4-2\sigma_1-2\sigma_2-(4-\sigma_1-3\sigma_2) = \sigma_2-\sigma_1 \geq 0$, we have

$$\int_2^T |A(s_1,s_2)|^2 dt = \zeta_2^{\square}(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)T + O(T^{4-2\sigma_1-2\sigma_2+\epsilon}).$$

By the same argument as in the case $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2$, we obtain the theorem. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3 for $\sigma_1 > 1$ and $\sigma_2 = 1/2$. Since

$$\frac{1}{|\zeta(\sigma)|} \leq |\zeta(\sigma+it)| \leq \zeta(\sigma)$$

for $\sigma > 1$ (see [10]), we have

$$\int_2^T |\zeta(s_1)\zeta(s_2)|^2 dt \asymp T\log T.$$

We have proved

$$\int_2^T |\zeta_2(s_2,s_1)|^2 dt = O(T)$$

in this section. From these evaluations, we can obtain

$$I^{\square}(T) \asymp T\log T$$

by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.2 for $\sigma_1 > 1$ and $\sigma_2 = 1/2$. □

REFERENCES

[1] S. Akiyama, S. Egami and Y. Tanigawa, Analytic continuation of multiple zeta-functions and their values at non-positive integers, Acta Arith. **98** (2001), 107-116.

[2] F. V. Atkinson, The mean-value of the Riemann zeta function, Acta Math. **81** (1949), 353-376.

[3] H. M. Edwards, Riemann's Zeta Function, Academic Press, 1974.

[4] A. Ivić, The Riemann zeta-function, Wiley, 1985.

[5] I. Kiuchi and Y. Tanigawa, Bounds for double zeta-functions, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa, Cl. Sci. Ser. V **5** (2006), 445-464.

[6] I. Kiuchi, Y. Tanigawa and W. Zhai, Analytic properties of double zeta-functions, Indag. Math. **21** (2011), 16-29.

[7] K. Matsumoto, On the analytic continuation of various multiple zeta-functions, in "Number Theory for the Millennium, II" (Urbana, IL, 2000), M. A. Bennett et al. (eds.), A K Peters, Natick, MA, 2002, pp. 417-440.

[8] K. Matsumoto, Functional equations for double zeta-functions, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **136** (2004) 1-7.

[9] K. Matsumoto and H. Tsumura, Mean value theorems for double zeta-functions I, arXiv:1203.2242v1.

[10] E. C. Titchmarsh, The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-function, Second Edition, Edited and with a preface by D. R. Heath-Brown, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1986.

[11] E. C. Titchmarsh, The theory of functions, Second Edition, Oxford University Press, 1939.

[12] J. Q. Zhao, Analytic continuation of multiple zeta function, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), 1275-1283.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, FUROCHO, CHIKUSAKU, NAGOYA 464-8602, JAPAN

E-mail address: `m10004u@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp`
E-mail address: `m10041v@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp`
E-mail address: `m10035y@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp`